

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/563,610	<b>Applicant(s)</b> ESKELINEN, EERO	
	<b>Examiner</b> ALEXANDRIA Y. BROMELL	<b>Art Unit</b> 2167	

**All Participants:**

(1) ALEXANDRIA Y. BROMELL.

(2) Shahid Alam.

**Date of Interview:** 22 September 2010

**Status of Application:** pending

(3) Naphtali Matlis, Reg. No. 61,592.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** 1:30pm

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1 and 31

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiners and attorney discussed proposed claim amendments to overcome rejections under 35 U.S.C. 101. Attorney accepted changed proposed by examiners for claims 3 and 31.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Alexandria Bromell/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2167  
September 25, 2010

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)